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Monetary Policy Rules

- Policy rules form part of the “modern” approach to monetary policy where the goal is to stabilize the economy

- Definition: systematic decision process using information consistently and predictably

- Desirable properties
  - Rule must recognize that individuals anticipate decisions by the Central Bank
  - Rule must be explicit about how information is used
  - Rule should not be changed without a lot of forethought
  - Rule must be easily understood: complicated rules come across as capricious
Taylor Rule

The Taylor rule determines a benchmark for the short-term policy rate:

\[ i = r + \pi + \beta \cdot (\pi - \pi^*) + (1 - \beta) \cdot (y - y^*) \]

Where

- \( i \) is the federal funds rate predicted by the Taylor rule
- \( r \) is the real interest rate
- \( \pi \) is the current value of inflation
- \( \pi^* \) is the target inflation rate
- \( y \) is the measure of economic activity
- \( y^* \) is the measure of “full employment” economic activity
- \( \beta > 0 \) importance of inflation for monetary policy

Properties of the Taylor Rule

• If $\pi > \pi^*$ then $i \uparrow$: If the inflation rate exceeds the target rate, then monetary policy raises the short term rate.

• If $y > y^*$ then $i \uparrow$: If the economic activity exceeds its full employment level, then monetary policy should raise the short-term rate.

• If $\pi > \pi^*$ and $y > y^*$, then $i = r + \pi$, which is the Fisher equation.

• The “money” of monetary policy follows the interest rate.
Extensions of the Taylor Rule

• Persistence:
  • Monetary policy seeks to avoid large swings in policy rates
  • History of recent rates plays a role in setting monetary policy

• Expectations:
  • Changes in monetary policy do not materialize instantaneously: there is a lag
  • What matters are expectations of inflation and economic activity
  • If authorities anticipate an event that will disrupt the economy, they must act now to offset the expected disruption

• Interdependencies:
  • Interest rates are not determined in a vacuum
  • Domestic monetary policy potentially depends on foreign monetary policy and vice versa
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Generic Taylor Rule

\[
\text{neutral rate: } r + \pi_{t+1}
\]

\[
\text{future inflation: } \beta \cdot (\pi_{t+1} - \pi^*)
\]

\[
i_t \leftarrow \text{future growth: } (1 - \beta) \cdot (y_{t+1} - y^*_t)
\]

\[
i_t \leftarrow \text{history: } \delta \cdot i_{t-1}
\]

\[
i_t \leftarrow \text{interdependence: } \lambda \cdot i_t^e
\]
Implementation of the Taylor Rule

• Parameters of the Taylor rule are generally unknown

• Practitioners typically assume values for the unknown parameters

• For example:
  • $\beta = 0.5$: Monetary policy is equally concerned with inflation and economic activity
  • $(y_{t+1} - y_t^*)$: output gap from the International Monetary Fund’s *World Economic Outlook*
  • Target inflation rate $\pi^* = 2$ set and announced by the Central Bank
  • Real interest rate $r = 2$

• Values for unknown parameters can be estimated from data

• Real interest rate and output gap can be modelled with Monte Carlo analysis
Estimating Parameters: Rationale

• Rather than assuming parameters, estimate them based on past performance
  • United States and Euro Area data from 1995-2015
  • Inflation and output gap from the International Monetary Fund’s World Economic Outlook
  • Federal funds rate from St. Louis Federal Reserve’s Federal Reserve Economic Data (FRED)
  • Eonia rate from European Central Bank

• How are the determinants of the interest rate actually weighted?
Empirical Methodology

• The structural model is:

\[ i_t^{US} = \varphi_0 + \varphi_1 \cdot (y_t - y_t^*) + \varphi_2 \cdot \pi_t + \varphi_3 \cdot i_{t-1} + \varphi_4 \cdot i_t^{EU} \]

\[ i_t^{EU} = \varphi_0^{EU} + \varphi_1^{EU} \cdot (y_t^{EU} - y_t^{EU}^*) + \varphi_2^{EU} \cdot \pi_t^{EU} + \varphi_3^{EU} \cdot i_{t-1}^{EU} + \varphi_4^{EU} \cdot i_t^{US} \]

• Estimate using Full Information Maximum Likelihood (FIML) method
## Estimating Parameters: Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent Variable</th>
<th>Estimated Parameters for the US</th>
<th>Estimated Parameters for the EU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Economic Activity</td>
<td>( \varphi_1 = 0.3428^{**} )</td>
<td>( \varphi_1^{EU} = 0.1425 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflation</td>
<td>( \varphi_2 = 0.1709 )</td>
<td>( \varphi_2^{EU} = 0.3951^{**} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Rate History</td>
<td>( \varphi_3 = 0.6430^{***} )</td>
<td>( \varphi_3^{EU} = 0.5009^{***} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Interest Rate (EU/US)</td>
<td>( \varphi_4 = 0.0000^{^\dagger} )</td>
<td>( \varphi_4^{EU} = 0.3055^{***} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

\(^\dagger\) We constrain with respect to the EONIA rate because it is statistically insignificant and negative in the unconstrained FIML estimation procedure.
Estimating Parameters: Implications

• For the United States:
  • Interest rate history and economic activity are most important for US rates
  • Inflation is less significant for the US
  • The US interest rate is not impacted by Euro Area rates

• For the Euro Area:
  • Interest rate history and inflation are most important for Euro Area rates
  • Economic activity is less significant for the Euro Area
  • The US interest rate factors heavily in the determination of Euro Area rates
Sensitivity of Results to Changes in Exogenous Variables

• Rather than assuming values for the real interest rate, \( r \), and potential output, \( y^* \), model them with Monte Carlo analysis
  • Federal funds rate from St. Louis Federal Reserve’s Federal Reserve Economic Data (FRED)
  • Potential output figures from Congressional Budget Office
  • Determine standard deviation from historic rates and potential output

• Create random drawings for \( r \) and \( y^* \) to incorporate in Taylor rule

• Incorporate similarly random “shocks” to these drawings

• Generate an empirically robust range of Taylor rules
Empirical Methodology

• Recall the simple Taylor rule,

\[ i = r + \pi + \beta \cdot (\pi - \pi^*) + (1 - \beta) \cdot (y - y^*) \]

• Where \( r = 2 + \varepsilon_1 \) and \( y^* = 2 + \varepsilon_2 \) such that,
  • \( \varepsilon_1 = \sigma_r \cdot \mu_1 + \sigma_{ry} \cdot \mu_2 \) and \( \varepsilon_2 = \sigma_{ry} \cdot \mu_1 + \sigma_y \cdot \mu_2 \)
  • \( \sigma_r \) is the historic standard deviation of the federal funds rate
  • \( \sigma_y \) is the historic standard deviation of potential output
  • \( \sigma_{ry} \) is the covariance between the two, chosen exogenously
  • \( \mu_1 \) and \( \mu_2 \) are random “shocks” normally distributed with a mean of 0 and standard deviation of 1
Empirical Methodology

• So, real interest rate and potential output are drawn such that,

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    r \\
    y
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\
2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_r & 0 \\
\sigma_{ry} & \sigma_y \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\
\mu_2 \end{bmatrix}
\]

• Draw 1,000 iterations each of \( \mu_1 \) and \( \mu_2 \)

• Draw 1,000 subsequent iterations of \( r \) and \( y \) according to the equation above

• Assume \( \sigma_{ry} = 0 \)
Distribution of Real Interest Rate Drawings

Frequency

1.995 | 1.996 | 1.997 | 1.998 | 1.999 | 2.000 | 2.001 | 2.002 | 2.003 | 2.004 | 2.005 | MORE
---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---
5 | 20 | 32 | 96 | 133 | 206 | 207 | 172 | 75 | 36 | 11 | 7
Distribution of Potential Output Drawings

Frequency Distribution of Potential Output Drawings

- 1.975: 21
- 1.98: 31
- 1.985: 54
- 1.99: 88
- 1.995: 134
- 2: 151
- 2.005: 164
- 2.01: 130
- 2.015: 126
- 2.02: 57
- 2.025: 28
- MORE: 16
Drawings $r$ and $y$

Potential Output, $y$

Real Interest Rate, $r$
Monte Carlo Taylor Rule: Results

- Using drawings for r and y, establish similar distributions of US Taylor rules
- Forward looking, using estimated parameters
- Forward-looking, using chosen parameters
  - Weight the importance of inflation and growth equally ($\beta = \theta = 0.5$)
  - Weight persistence heavily ($\delta = 0.9$), implying “slow” adjustment of the interest rate
  - No interdependency ($\lambda = 0.0$)
Taylor Rule Distribution (Estimated Parameters)
Taylor Rule Distribution (High Persistence)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>1.1748</th>
<th>1.1750</th>
<th>1.1753</th>
<th>1.1755</th>
<th>1.1758</th>
<th>1.1760</th>
<th>1.1763</th>
<th>1.1765</th>
<th>1.1768</th>
<th>1.1770</th>
<th>1.1773</th>
<th>MORE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Monte Carlo Taylor Rule: Implications

• Should the assumptions of the Taylor rule and projections hold, we can confidently estimate a range of possible interest rates for 2017

• Overall distribution is a reasonably tight range

• Choice parameters can be adjusted accordingly given different assumptions
  • “Slowness” of adjustments (persistence parameter)
  • Expectations vs. current data (forward-looking)
  • Initial value for potential growth and real interest rate

• Changes in parameters more drastically alter the distribution